Abstract. Mechanical pressure may contribute to the development of cancer; however, there is currently no evidence regarding the effect of mechanical pressure on liver cancer. In the present study, 2- and 3-dimensional pressure-loading systems were used to exert pressure on HepG2 and Huh-7 cell lines. Cell proliferation and flow cytometry analyses were undertaken to observe the proliferative ability of pressure-loaded cells. In addition, Transwell, wound-healing and reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) assays were applied to evaluate the migratory and invasive ability of pressurized cells. Analyses of microRNA (miRNA) and mRNA expression profiles were performed to screen for differentially expressed miRNAs and mRNAs, which were validated by RT-qPCR. Bioinformatics analyses were subsequently performed to investigate the putative target genes and associated pathways. The proliferation and invasion of HepG2 and Huh-7 cell lines were significantly increased under a pressure of 15 mmHg for 24 h. Under this condition, five differentially expressed miRNAs (fold change ≥1.2, P≤0.05) and 10,150 differentially expressed mRNAs (fold change ≥2, P≤0.05) were identified. A total of 1,309 genes were identified from the integrative analysis of miRNAs and mRNAs. In addition, the bioinformatics analyses revealed that the majority of these miRNAs and mRNAs were associated with several pathways associated with cell proliferation and invasion, including ‘PI3K/Akt signaling pathway’, ‘focal adhesion’, ‘integrin-mediated Akt signaling pathway’, ‘FOXO signaling pathway’ and ‘Hippo signaling pathway’. The present study described the pressure-dependent proliferation and invasion of liver cancer cells, and revealed the potential molecular mechanisms underlying them. The identification of miRNAs and their putative targets may also result in novel treatment strategies for liver cancer.

Introduction

Liver cancer is currently the second leading cause of cancer-associated mortality worldwide, with the highest incidence being reported in Asia and sub-Saharan Africa (1). However, the pathophysiology of mechanical pressure in liver cancer development has not been definitively elucidated.

During the development of liver cancer, cancerous cells may be subjected to various forms of mechanical pressures, including pressure from the extracellular matrix (ECM) caused by matrix stiffness (2); the mechanical-pressure effect caused by rapid tumor growth (3); portal hypertension, caused when the portal pressure gradient or the pressure difference between the portal and inferior cava vein strongly increases (4); pressure generated by the blood and lymphatic transport of shed cancer cells (5); or pressure from iatrogenic intraoperative stimulation (4,5). During recent decades, studies have provided evidence that pressure serves a distinctive role in malignant cell proliferation and invasion: Craig et al (5) reported that activation of cancer cells by pressure promotes tumor development and impaired tumor-free survival. Furthermore Basson et al (6) revealed that increased extracellular pressure activates a mechanosensitive calcium pathway to further enhance the proliferation of tumor cells, and Fiering et al (7) demonstrated that the mechanical pressure from cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) leads to the progression of metastasis. Fernández-Sánchez et al (8) explored the
contribution of mechanical pressure exerted by tumor growth onto non-tumorous adjacent epithelium, and demonstrated that the tumorigenic β-catenin pathway could be mechanically activated in healthy epithelial cells surrounding the tumor, suggesting an unexplored mode of tumor propagation based on mechanical signaling pathways.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) are small non-coding RNAs considered to be key post-transcriptional modulators of gene expression, which target mRNA for translational repression or destabilization (9). Mechanically responsive miRNAs are sensitive or responsive to mechanotransduction. To date, some mechanically induced miRNAs have been associated with physiological or pathological processes (10-13). The preliminary results of a previous study confirmed that the mechanically responsive miR-9a-5p regulates proliferation and migration of hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) through inhibition of sirtuin 1 (Sirt1) (13). Clinical data has revealed that 90% of patients with liver cancer have a background of liver cirrhosis (14), and the median overall survival rate of patients with liver cancer and a liver cirrhosis background has significantly decreased (15). Currently, elevated portal pressure has been exclusively considered a consequence of liver cirrhosis (16), but whether mechanosensitive miRNAs have a pivotal role in the subsequent development of liver cancer remains unknown. In addition, it may be hypothesized that the increased recurrence rate following hepatectomy is associated with the increased biological activity of liver cancer cells following intraoperative mechanical stimulation. However, the role of miRNAs in this process should be further evaluated.

To investigate alterations in the proliferation and invasion of liver cancer cell lines following mechanical stimulation, HepG2 and Huh-7 cell lines were subjected to gradually increasing pressure (0, 5, 15, 30 and 60 mmHg) for different periods of time (0, 12, 24 and 48 h) using 2-dimensional (2D) and 3-dimensional (3D) pressure-loading systems. Subsequently, the differentially expressed miRNAs and mRNAs were screened under optimal conditions (15 mmHg, 24 h) by microarray analysis. The target genes of miRNAs and the differentially expressed mRNAs were integrated, and 1,309 genes were bioinformatically predicted to respond to mechanical pressure. Through Gene Ontology (GO) and pathway analyses, it was revealed that the function of these target genes was primarily associated with proliferation and invasion.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and reagents. The HepG2 cell line was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (cat. no. HB-8065). The Huh-7 cell line was purchased from the Japanese Collection of Research Biorerources Cell Bank (cat. no. 0403). Mycoplasma testing was performed for all cell lines and no infection was found. The cell lines were both authenticated by short tandem repeat analysis. Cells were cultured at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; HyClone; GE Healthcare Life Sciences) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 2 mM glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 10 mM HEPES, 100 U/ml penicillin G and 100 mg/ml streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). Cells were digested with EDTA-0.25% trypsin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) during cell passage.

Pressure loading. The 2D and 3D pressure-loading systems were used to exert increasing pressure (0, 5, 15, 30 and 60 mmHg) for various periods of time (0, 12, 24 and 48 h). The 2D pressure-loading system used in the present study was designed by previous studies (17-19). The container was made from stainless steel, the cap of which contained an input port and an output port that can be opened and tightly sealed with a rubber ring. The inlet was connected to high-pressure helium by a silica gel sorbent tube and a pressure regulator, and the outlet was connected to a three-way control valve, of which one end was connected to a buffer gas valve and the other end was connected to a medical sphygmomanometer to read the pressure in the container. When the cells were cultured under pressure, the system was placed in a 5% CO₂ incubator.

Pressure loading of 3D cultured cells was undertaken using a Flexcell-5000 Compression system (Flexcell International Corporation). Prior to pressure loading, the cells were cultured in 3D Life Biomimetic Hydrogels (Cellendes GmbH) for 4 h. The cell gels were placed in a BioPres Compression Culture Plate (Flexcell International Corporation), and the pressure parameters, including compressive strength and intervention time, were adjusted digitally using FlexSoft FX-5000™ (Flexcell International Corporation). The cells in gel were detached by 1X Accutase enzymes (Innovative Cell Technologies, Inc.), and centrifuged for 10 min at 111.8 x g at room temperature. The cells were subsequently collected for follow-up experiments.

Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay. Cell proliferation was analyzed using the CCK-8 assay (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc.). Cells were plated in 96-well plates at 1x10⁴ cells/well. At four different time-points (0, 12, 24 and 48 h), 10 µl CCK-8 solution was added to each well, and the cells were incubated for a further 2 h at room temperature. The optical density value was obtained by determining the differences in absorbance at a wavelength of 450 nm using a microplate reader (KHB-ST-360; Shanghai Kehua Bio-engineering Co., Ltd.). The activity of cellular dehydrogenases generates formazan dye, the amount of which is directly proportional to the number of living cancer cells.

Cell cycle analysis. Cell cycle arrest was measured using a Cell Cycle Assay kit (Hanzhou MultiSciences Biotech, Co., Ltd.). A total of 2x10⁴-1x10⁵ 3D-cultured and pressure-treated cells were collected and centrifuged for 10 min at 111.8 x g at room temperature. After the supernatant was discarded, cells were washed once with PBS (HyClone; GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Afterwards, DNA staining solution (1 ml) was added to the cells. The mixture was spun for 5-10 sec, and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Finally, the mixed solution was collected for analysis via flow cytometry using FlowJo V10 software (FlowJo LLC).

Cell invasion assay. The cell invasion assay was performed using Transwell™ Permeable Supports, which consisted of Snapwell™ and Netwell™ inserts (Corning Inc.). The kit contained 24 inserts; each insert contained a polycarbonate
membrane with 8-μm pores coated with a thin layer of type I/III collagen-coated polytetrafluoroethylene. Briefly, serum-free DMEM was used to prepare cell suspension, and 200 μl cell suspension containing 0.5x10^4 cells was added to the upper chamber of each pore; DMEM containing 10% FBS was added to each lower chamber as a chemoattractant. The cells were cultured for 24 h. Subsequently, non-migratory cells on the upper surface were scraped off. The migrated cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature and stained with 0.1% crystal violet for 5 min at room temperature. Acetic acid (10%) was used to elute the stained inserts to detect the percentage of invaded cells. Images of the cells were then captured using a light microscope (magnification, x100).

Wound-healing assay. Cells (5x10^4/well) were seeded into 24-well plates (Corning, Inc.); after 24 h, the cells reached 70-80% confluence as a monolayer. A new pipette tip (100 μl) was used to gently scratch the monolayer across the center of the well. After scratching, the well was washed twice with DMEM to remove the detached cells. The well was then replenished with fresh DMEM containing 10% FBS, and incubated for an additional 24 h at 37°C. The cells were subsequently washed twice with PBS, and fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature and stained with 1% crystal violet in 2% ethanol for 30 min at room temperature. Images of the cells were captured using a light microscope (magnification, x100). The gap distances were measured using ImageJ V1.51 (National Institutes of Health).

Cell mortality assay. The HepG2 and Huh-7 cells in logarithmic growth phase were inoculated in 6-cm culture dishes (Corning, Inc.) at a density of 1x10^5 cells/ml; 5 ml cell suspension was added to each dish. Cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS and pressure-loaded (0, 5, 15, 30 and 60 mmHg) for a set period of time (0, 12, 24 and 48 h). Cells were then digested with EDTA-0.25% trypsin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) to prepare a 0.2-ml cell suspension. The cells were mixed evenly with EDTA-0.25% trypsin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) to prepare the label reaction and transcribe cRNAs from cDNAs, to reverse transcribe total RNA to double-stranded cDNA, to reverse transcribe miRNA, and a PrimeScript™ miRNA First Strand Synthesis kit (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.) was applied to reverse transcribe total RNA to double-stranded cDNA, to reverse transcribe miRNA, and a PrimeScript™ RT Reagant kit (Takara Bio, Inc.) was applied to reverse transcribe miRNA. The reverse transcription reactions for miRNA and mRNA were conducted according to manufacturers’ protocols. qPCR was performed on a Rotor Gene 3000 real-time PCR system from Corbett Research (Qiagen GmbH). Mir-X™ miRNA RT-qPCR Extraction software (version 10.7; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) was used to perform reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

### Table I. Primer sequences of miRNAs used for reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Forward primer sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hsa-miR-7641</td>
<td>5’-TTGATGTCGGAAGCTAACG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsa-miR-4485-3p</td>
<td>5’-TAACGCGCCCGTACACCTAA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsa-miR-7-5p</td>
<td>5’-TGGAAGACTAGTTTGGT GTT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsa-miR-5703</td>
<td>5’-AGGAGAAGTCCGGAGGT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsa-miR-630</td>
<td>5’-AGTATTCTGTACCCAGGAAG-3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

miR/miRNA, microRNA.

Microarray analysis of mRNA expression. For mRNA analysis, the array (OE BioTech) was performed using an Agilent expression profile gene chip (Agilent Technologies, Inc.). Two samples were selected for the control and pressure groups. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol® reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and quantified using NanoDrop 2000 (NanoDrop Technologies; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and detected with an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Inc.). The Quick Amp Labeling Kit (One-Color; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) was used to reverse transcribe total RNA to double-stranded cDNA, prepare the label reaction and transcribe cRNAs from cDNAs, all according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Subsequently, an RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen GmbH) was used to purify the labeled/amplified RNAs and quality control-labeled cRNAs. Each slide was hybridized with cyanine 3-labeled RNA using the Agilent Gene Expression Hybridization kit (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) in a Hybridization Oven (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 65°C for 17 h. After hybridization, slides were washed in staining dishes with Gene Expression Wash Buffer kit (Agilent Technologies, Inc.). Slides were scanned using the Agilent Microarray Scanner (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) and analyzed using the Feature Extraction software (version 10.7; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) with default settings.

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). TRIzol® reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was used to extract RNA from cancer cells, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. A NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was used to measure RNA quantity and quality. Standard denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis was used to access RNA integrity (20). An Mir-X™ miRNA First Strand Synthesis kit (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.) was applied to reverse transcribe miRNA, and a PrimeScript™ RT Reagent kit (Takara Bio, Inc.) was applied to reverse transcribe mRNA. The reverse transcription reactions for miRNA and mRNA were conducted according to manufacturers’ protocols. qPCR was performed on a Rotor Gene 3000 real-time PCR system from Corbett Research (Qiagen GmbH). Mir-X™ miRNA RT-qPCR
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SYBR® kit (Takara Bio, Inc.) was used to amplify the cDNA of the detected miRNA. The reaction system had a total volume of 10 µl, consisting of 5 µl SYBR Advantage premix (2X), 3.6 µl double-distilled H2O, 0.2 µl ROX Dye (50X), 0.2 µl forward (F) primer, 0.2 µl reverse (R) primer and 0.8 µl DNA sample. The thermocycling conditions were as follows: 95˚C, 10 sec, followed by 40 cycles at 95˚C for 5 sec and 60˚C for 20 sec. The liver cancer cell lines were also cultured in 3D Life Hydrogel before being pressurized and were treated with Accutase enzymes prior to detection by flow cytometry. The results revealed that the ratio of cells in S phase was significantly increased in response to 15 mmHg pressure for 24 h (P<0.001; Fig. 1E and F).

Bioinformatics analysis. Target genes of miRNAs were predicted from three databases, including miRDB V6.0 (www.mirdb.org), MiRTarBase (mirbase.mbc.nctu.edu.tw/php/index.php) and TargetScan V7.2 (www.targetscan.org/vert_72). All data were obtained from the databases in June 2018. The annotations were collected from the GO (geneontology.org) and the National Center for Biotechnology Information (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) databases. The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database was used for genome/metagenome annotation (www.genome.jp/kegg/annotation). On the basis of the aforementioned analytical tools, specific biological processes and pathways were found to be enriched. P<0.05 and false discovery rate <0.25 were used to define the threshold of significance. The miRNA-gene network was produced using Cytoscape 3.6 (22).

**Results**

Mechanical pressure regulates the proliferation of liver cancer cells. The proliferation of 2D-cultured liver cancer cell lines was determined using the CCK-8 assay (Fig. 1A and B). The results demonstrated that proliferation was increased under 15 mmHg pressure for 24 h in the HepG2 (P<0.05) and Huh-7 (P<0.01) cell lines. Conversely, 60 mmHg pressure for 48 h decreased proliferation of HepG2 (P<0.05) and Huh-7 (P<0.01) cell lines.

The liver cancer cell lines were also cultured in 3D Life Hydrogel (Fig. 1C) before being pressurized and were treated with Accutase enzymes prior to detection by flow cytometry (Fig. 1D). The results revealed that the ratio of cells in S phase was significantly increased in response to 15 mmHg pressure for 24 h (P<0.001; Fig. 1E and F).
Figure 1. Effects of elevated pressure on the proliferation of HepG2 and Huh-7 liver cancer cells in vitro. 2D-cultured (A) HepG2 and (B) Huh-7 liver cancer cells were cultivated under pressure (0, 5, 15, 30 and 60 mmHg) and observed at different time points (0, 12, 24 and 48 h); their proliferation (relative to the control group) was assessed using the Cell Counting kit-8 assay. (C) Morphology of 2D-cultured and 3D-cultured liver cancer cell lines (magnification, x400). Uneven illumination was corrected using a control image. Scale bar=50 µm. (D) Flow cytometry results of 3D-cultured cells under pressure for 24 h; the ratio of (E) HepG2 and (F) Huh-7 cells in the S phase of the cell cycle was evaluated using a cell cycle assay.
Mechanical pressure regulates the invasion of liver cancer cells. The migration and invasion of 2D-cultured liver cancer cell lines were examined using a wound-healing assay (Fig. 2A-D) and Transwell assay (Fig. 2E-G), respectively. The results demonstrated that the percentage of invasive and migratory cells was increased under 15 mmHg pressure for 24 h (P<0.001), with no statistical difference between the 0 mmHg group and the 60 mmHg group.

RT-qPCR was used to detect the expression of tumor metastasis-related genes in 3D-cultured liver cancer cells. Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) can degrade components of the ECM to induce tumor metastasis; MMPs are in turn inhibited by tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) (23). Therefore, the expression levels of MMP1, MMP2, MMP7 and MMP14, which represent collagenase, gelatinase, matrix degrading enzyme and membrane-type MMP, respectively, and TIMP1, which can inhibit almost all subtypes of MMPs, were evaluated. In HepG2 and Huh-7 cell lines, the expression levels of MMP1, MMP7 and MMP14 in the cells pressurized at 15 mmHg for 24 h were significantly higher compared with in the other groups, whereas TIMP1 expression exhibited the opposite trend. In addition, MMP2 was increased in cells pressurized at 15 mmHg for 24 h compared with in the control group (P<0.01; Fig. 2H and I).

Mechanical pressure regulates the mortality of liver cancer cells. For 2D-cultured liver cancer cells, trypan blue staining was used to determine the cell death rate under various pressures. The results indicated that there was no significant difference in the mortality rate between cells under different pressures for 12 and 24 h (Fig. 1G and H). Conversely, the mortality rate was increased after 48 h of 30 and 60 mmHg compression (P<0.05; Fig. 1I).

Identification and integrative analysis of miRNAs and mRNAs. The HepG2 cell line was pressurized at 15 mmHg for 24 h, and mRNA and miRNA expression was evaluated via microarray analysis. Five miRNAs were identified using the miRNA chip (fold change ≥1.2, P≤0.05; Fig. 3A). miR-7-5p, miR-7641 and miR-4485-3p were upregulated, whereas miR-5703 and miR-630 were downregulated under pressure (Table III). In addition, 10,150 mRNAs were differentially expressed according to mRNA chip analysis (fold change ≥2, P≤0.05; Fig. 3B). A total of 5,102 genes were upregulated, including oncogenes such as sarcoma gene (SRC), focal adhesion kinase (FAK), phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) regulatory subunit 1 (PIK3R1), integrin subunit α V (ITGAV), son of sevenless 1 (SOS1), insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS1) and serum/glucocorticoid regulated kinase 3 (SGK3). 5,048 genes were downregulated, including tumor suppressor genes such as SMAD family member 2 (SMAD2), casein kinase 1 ε (CSNK1E) and forkhead box O 1 (FOXO1).

The expression of laminin subunit α 4, SRC, Erb-B2 receptor tyrosine kinase 3, PIK3R1, catenin β 1, FAK, cleft lip and palate transmembrane protein 1-like protein, tumor protein P53 inducible protein 3, fascin actin-bundling protein 1 and all five miRNAs was evaluated by RT-qPCR in the HepG2 and Huh-7 cell lines, which were treated under 2D and 3D pressure-loading conditions. The results revealed a similar trend to the microarray analyses, confirming the changes...
Figure 2. Effect of pressure on HepG2 and Huh-7 liver cancer cell migration and invasion in vitro. (A) HepG2 and (B) Huh-7 confluent cell monolayers were wounded and cultured under different levels of pressure (0, 5, 15, 30, 60 mmHg) for 24 h (magnification, x100); (C) HepG2 and (D) Huh-7 percentage of migration was calculated. (E) Representative images of Transwell assay results showing cells stained with 0.1% crystal violet solution (magnification, x100).
detected in the expression levels of mRNAs and miRNAs (Fig. 3C–J).

Three online databases were used to predict the target genes of the five pressure-responsive miRNAs, and the sum aggregate of the predictive target genes was integrated with the differentially expressed mRNAs from the mRNA chip. With this approach, a total of 1,309 genes (642 downregulated, 667 upregulated) were obtained and used to construct the miRNA-gene network (Fig. 4). Notably, there was no predictive target gene of miR-4485-3p in the integrated results. The results of the GO and KEGG pathway analyses of the 1,309 genes are shown in Fig. 5. The top three cancer development-associated ‘biological process’ terms identified by GO analysis were ‘positive regulation of cell migration’,
The top three cancer development-associated terms identified by pathway analysis were 'PI3K-Akt signaling pathway', 'Focal adhesion' and 'FoxO signaling pathway'.

Discussion

In the present study, the effects of elevated pressure on HepG2 and Huh-7 cells cultured in 2D and 3D conditions were evaluated, and it was observed that a pressure of 15 mmHg for 24 h increased the proliferation, migration and invasion of liver cancer cells. Notably, the proliferation of 2D-cultured liver cancer cells under 30 and 60 mmHg compression for 48 h was decreased compared with that at 0 mmHg, suggesting that there is an optimal pressure range that favors liver cancer cell proliferation. Excessive pressure or its prolonged application may constitute unfavorable conditions for liver cancer cell survival, and only under moderate pressure and exposure time (15 mmHg, 24 h) can these cells thrive. For 3D-cultured liver cancer cells, the number of cells in S phase following 24 h of 60 mmHg compression was higher than that of cells grown with no compression. It is therefore possible that the encapsulation of liver cancer cells in a 3D matrix may protect them from damage caused by higher pressures, and/or attenuate the pressure-induced inhibition of DNA synthesis.

The wound-healing assay showed that, compared with the control group, the percentage of migratory cells was significantly increased under 15 or 30 mmHg pressure for
Table III. Differentially expressed miRNAs in pressure-stimulated cells compared with control cells.

A, Downregulated microRNAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systematic name</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Fold-change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hsa-miR-630</td>
<td>0.004232634</td>
<td>0.679781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsa-miR-5703</td>
<td>0.006772327</td>
<td>0.727266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B, Upregulated microRNAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systematic name</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Fold-change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hsa-miR-7641</td>
<td>0.047364561</td>
<td>1.517495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsa-miR-7-5p</td>
<td>0.025880795</td>
<td>1.291842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsa-miR-4485-3p</td>
<td>0.041582116</td>
<td>1.234361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

miR/miRNA, microRNA.
under elevated pressure for 12 and 24 h, but were increased following compression for 48 h. As trypan blue can only stain cells with membrane defects, and the membranes of cells undergoing apoptosis may be undamaged (24), it is therefore possible that high pressure (30 and 60 mmHg) may also induce liver cancer cell apoptosis, which may reduce the number of living cells able to migrate during the wound-healing assay. Furthermore, it is possible that excessive pressure may activate the expression of migration-suppressing genes. The mechanisms underlying the mechanically induced changes in cell migration require further study.

Under the optimal conditions identified using the aforementioned tests (15 mmHg, 24 h), the microarray analysis identified five miRNAs that exhibited differences in expression; these differences were also detected by RT-qPCR. Alterations in the mRNA expression profile were more complex, which suggests that the effect of pressure on liver cancer cells may affect various physiological processes, including endocytosis, apoptosis and metabolism. Following integrative analysis of miRNAs and mRNAs, the 1,309 target genes were functionally evaluated using GO analysis, and these were mostly associated with cell proliferation and migration.

In the KEGG pathway analysis of the 1,309 differentially expressed mRNAs, ‘PI3K/Akt signaling pathway’ and ‘focal adhesion’ were second and third of the top 20 most enriched annotations, while the ‘integrin-mediated signaling pathway’ ranked sixth in GO analysis annotations. There are several interactions between these pathways that may explain the migration and invasion of malignant cells (25,26). The expression levels of the key genes of these three pathways, including SRC, FAK, PI3K and ITGA5, were all significantly upregulated after 15 mmHg compression for 24 h. Src and ITGA5 were the predicted target genes of downregulated miR-5703 and miR-630, respectively. Previous biomechanical studies have confirmed that Src, FAK, PI3K and ITGA5 are vital proteins involved in cell mechanical stress transduction. Thamilselvan and Basson (4) reported that extracellular pressure may increase integrin affinity and promote colon cancer adhesion via actin-dependent inside-out FAK and Src signals, which may regulate metastatic tumor cell adhesion (27). In a separate study, it was reported that the Src inhibitor PP2 could inhibit the phosphorylation of PI3K and protein kinase B (Akt) in pressure models of colon cancer, and that the application of LY294002 (a PI3K inhibitor) inhibited the pressure-mediated adhesion process of colon cancer cells. Accordingly, the Src-PI3K-Akt-Akt signaling axis may respond to pressure in colon cancer cells (28). In addition, extracellular pressures reaching 29 mmHg have been reported in rapidly growing breast cancers (29). Downey et al (30) observed breast cancer cells under 15 mmHg and demonstrated that cancer cells display increased adhesion, stimulated by phosphorylated (p)-FAK, which is the same as for colon cancer. Genes that were responsive to pressure in the pressure models of colon cancer and breast cancer may also function in the pressure model of liver cancer. They may act as target genes of pressure-responsive microRNAs, regulating the proliferation, migration and invasion of pressure-treated liver cancer cells. The phosphorylation of FAK, Src and PI3K may serve an important role in regulating other downstream pathways, including the FOXO signaling pathway, which ranked fifth in pathway analysis annotations. PI3K can phosphorylate SGKs by activating pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1, and SGKs then deactivate FOXOs. Furthermore, p-FOXOs have been reported to inhibit the expression of p27kip and p21, which prevent cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (31). SOS1 (32) and IRS1 (33), which are upstream of FOXOs, may be targeted by miRNA-630 and upregulated in pressure-exposed liver cancer cells according to the microarray. SOS1 can activate Ras (34), thereby phosphorylating downstream genes and inhibiting the expression of FOXO. IRS1 may act as an oncogene, as it has been reported to be involved in tumor initiation and progression by regulating PI3K (35). Therefore, the FOXO signaling pathway may be downregulated by a reduction in SRC expression induced by mechanically responsive miR-5703, or by a reduction in ITGA5, SOS1 and IRS1 expression via pressure-induced upregulation of miR-630.

In addition to the downregulated miR-630 and miR-5703, upregulated miR-7641 and miR-7-5p may also be associated with the development of liver cancer in a high-pressure...
tumor microenvironment. Downregulation of CSNK1E and SMAD2 was observed in liver cancer cells exposed to 15 mmHg pressure for 24 h according to the microarray analysis. CSNK1E is a predictive target gene of miR-7-5p, and higher CSNK1E levels are associated with a better prognosis in subsets of patients with breast cancer (36). SMAD2 is a putative target gene of miRNA-7641, and as an intracellular mediator of the transforming growth factor β signal transduction pathway, it may have a relevant role in hepatic fibro-carcinogenesis (37).

In summary, it was hypothesized that pressure signals may act on cell membranes to deform them, which may lead to activation of proteins on the surface of cell membranes, which then transfer pressure stimulation to the cytoskeleton, organelles and distal cell membranes. The activation of pressure-sensitive cell membrane surface proteins may induce the tyrosine-mediated phosphorylation of cytoskeletal proteins, including paxillin and vinculin, and may trigger the upregulation or downregulation of other signaling factors (such as PI3K, FAK, IRS1, SOS1, FOXOs, CSNK1E and SMAD2). This process may therefore occur in liver cancer cells under pressure, and mechanically responsive miRNAs may be recruited to regulate the proliferation, migration and invasion of liver cancer cells. Other miRNAs and mRNAs screened using microarray analyses were identified in the present study, and these will be evaluated in future studies regarding the function of pressure in liver cancer.

HSCs are the primary producer of liver ECM components. It has been reported that metastatic colorectal cancer stem cells in the liver are capable of initiating the formation of a metastatic niche by reprogramming HSCs into CAFs (38). A previous study also revealed that pressure interventions at 10 mmHg for 1 h may significantly enhance the proliferation, activation and migration of HSCs via miR-9a-5p, which targets Sirt1 (13). Regarding the effects of pressure and mechanically responsive miRNAs, further experiments consisting of liver cancer cells, HSCs and CAFs, in combination or separately, should be designed to broaden our knowledge of liver cancer evolution and malignancy.

In conclusion, to the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have demonstrated the effects of mechanical pressure on liver cancer. The present study reported that pressure induced an aggressive cancer phenotype, promoting proliferation and migration of liver cancer cells. Potential pressure-responsive miRNAs and mRNAs were identified by gene chips, which may provide a large number of potential therapeutic targets for clinical treatments, and revealed how intrahepatic pressure may promote cancer development. However, the effect of these specific pressure-responsive miRNAs and their targets should be verified in further in vitro and in vivo experiments, and the molecular mechanism underlying pressure-induced proliferation and migration of liver cancer cells requires further study. Answering these questions may be of significance for the treatment of liver cancer with portal hypertension and may help reduce the levels of intraoperative mechanical stimulation-induced metastasis of liver cancer. Overall, the present study aimed to understand the fate of liver cancer cells following mechanically induced miRNA expression, and may provide a strong theoretical basis for the prevention and treatment of liver cancer.
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